

Andri Silalahi SE MM <andridksilalahi@gmail.com>

FW: Cogent and Business Management Revisions

pantas.silaban@uhn.ac.id <pantas.silaban@uhn.ac.id> Kepada: "andridksilalahi@gmail.com" <andridksilalahi@gmail.com> 31 Oktober 2022 12.30

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Pantas Silaban Sent: 22 March 2022 8:03 To: andridksilalahi@gmail.com Subject: Cogent and Business Management Revisions

Ref: COGENTBUSINESS-2021-0797R1 217479217 Understanding Hedonic and Utilitarian Response Towards Product Reviews on YouTube and Purchase Intention Cogent Business & Management

Dear Pantas Silaban,

Thank you for your patience following your submission to Cogent Business & Management. Your manuscript entitled "Understanding Hedonic and Utilitarian Response Towards Product Reviews on YouTube and Purchase Intention", has now been reviewed.

It has been decided that your manuscript will require a major/minor revision before publication. Reviewer comments are available to be viewed at the bottom of this email.

The deadline for this revised submission is Apr 20, 2022. If you do not wish to carry out this revision and would prefer to submit it elsewhere, please let us know via emailing OABM-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk.

However, we encourage you to proceed with revising your manuscript. Please provide an editable word document. To submit your revised manuscript please go to https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submission/flow?submission/flow?submission/flow?submission-record.

Please ensure you include the following elements in your revised submission/Please check the attachment for information on what you will need to include in your revised submission. If you are unsure how to submit your revision, please contact us on OABM-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk where a member of our Editorial Team will be more than happy to assist you.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Best wishes, Carlos Gomez Corona Editor Cogent Business & Management

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Do you want to get recognition for this review on target="_blank">Publons?

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Publons to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you are opt-in, your Publons profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Publons profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. [Learn more]

Reviewer 2: No

Title, Abstract, and Introduction – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Methodology / Materials and Methods – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Objective / Hypothesis – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Figures and Tables – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Results / Data Analysis – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Interpretation / Discussion – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Conclusions – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

References – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Outstanding

Compliance with Ethical Standards – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Writing – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Supplemental Information and Data – overall evaluation Reviewer 2: Sound

Comments to the author Reviewer 2: good improvement

Do you want to get recognition for this review on target="_blank">Publons?

Don't let your reviewing work go unnoticed! Researchers the world over use Publons to effortlessly track their valuable peer review contributions for any journal. If you opt in, your Publons profile will automatically be updated to show a verified record of this review in full compliance with the journal's review policy. If you don't have a Publons profile, you will be prompted to create a free account. [Learn more]

Reviewer 4: Yes

Title, Abstract and Introduction – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Methodology / Materials and Methods – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Objective / Hypothesis – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Outstanding

Figures and Tables – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Results / Data Analysis – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Interpretation / Discussion – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Conclusions – overall evaluation

Reviewer 4: Sound

References – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Compliance with Ethical Standards – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Outstanding

Writing – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Supplemental Information and Data – overall evaluation Reviewer 4: Sound

Comments to the author

Reviewer 4: The abstract and Introduction section should be checked again to improve the manuscript's grammar, specifically the green letters.

In the data collection section, some lines were written in the past tense and others in the present tense. In addition, to avoid duplicating the information, you could mention the frequencies in the text and percentages in the table. Finally, I recommend checking the information in Table 1 and in the text. Some of the values shown (percentages and frequencies) do not agree. Also, in Table 1, some of the percentages exceeded 100% (Education level, age..).

Please only make the small changes recommended by Reviewer 2 and it can be done directly in the proofreading of the article to avoid passing again through review.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (*Remove my information/details*). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.